IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 15091 of 1994

Between:

The Telangana Girijan Cooperative Corporation Employees Union-Affiliated to Telangana Non Gazetted Officers, Union, rep. by its President Sri Syed Lal, S/o. Syed Masthana Saheb aged 47 years, R /o. Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P. rep. by its Secretary, Revenue Department, Hyderabad.

2 Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd Hyderabad, rep. by its Vice Chairman and Managing Director.

3 The Manager, Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd, Mannanoor, Mahabubnagar District.

4 The Manager, Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd., Jannaram, Adilabad Dt.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or directions, declaring the G.O.Ms.no. 112.SW(S2) Dept. dt. 8-7-94 Resolution No. 34/93-94 dated 6-3-1994 issued by the 2nd respondent including the consequential Memo of the 2nd respondent in Rc.No. 1515/92/DRs.IV dated 30-4-1994 they are void abinitio, lack of jurisdiction and power, arbitrary and illegal and as such offend the Arts. 14,15,16 and 21 of the Constitution of India, by holding that the Members of the petitioner-Union who are working in D.R.Depots are entitled to be continued as such on the same terms and conditions as was existing prior to the passing of the impugned Resolution dated 6-3-94 and the consequential Memo dated 30-4-94 issued by the 2nd respondent herein and pass such further or other orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

When the matter has come up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter in the writ petition has become infructuous and hence, the writ petition may be dismissed, as such.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

24-06-2004.

Lrkm/Dvs.

To

1. The Secretary,
   Government of A.P.,.
   Revenue Department,
   Hyderabad.
2. Vice Chairman and Managing Director,
   Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd.,
   Hyderabad,
3. The Manager,
   Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd,
   Mannanoor, Mahabubnagar District.

4. The Manager, Girijan Cooperative Corporation Ltd.,
   Jannaram, Adilabad District.
5. 2CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court Buildings,
   High Court of A.P.,(O.U.T).
6. 2CD copies.